A STUDY OF THE NEXUS BETWEEN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND DEPOSIT FUNDED BANK LOANS ISSUED TO PRIVATE-PUBLIC ENTITIES

Funso T. Kolapo *, Michael O. Oke *, Temitayo O. Olaniyan *

* Department of Banking and Finance, Ekiti State University, Nigeria
** Corresponding author, Department of Finance, University of Ilorin, Nigeria
Contact details: University of Ilorin, P.M.B. 1515: Ilorin: 240003: Nigeria

Abstract

We scrutinized bank deposits and loans issued to private-public sectors and its nexus with economic development in a developing country over the period 1970-2016. This study adopts per capita income as the proxy for economic development, while loans to private sectors, loans to government sectors, money supply, and lending interest rate were the financial deepening variables. We use the Ng-Perron and Augmented Dickey-Fuller Breakpoint Unit Root Tests to check the presence of unit root, and in determining the order of integration of the variables– I(d) in the presence of structural break for each variables respectively, while the T-Y augmented Granger non-causality test is used to reveal how causal effects flow in this study. Hence, taking into account the effect of structural breaks, we found that bank loans to government sectors and lending interest rates were stationary series as p < 0.01. We also found from the T-Y Granger non-causality results in its overall sense that the feedback hypothesis by contrast to prior studies holds in the developing country context. The feedback hypothesis establishes that bank loans and economic development Granger cause each other. In this paper, we recommended among other things that the monetary authorities should regulate the activities of bank deposits to ensure that they gear up the growth of loans to private sectors by examining factors, such as lending interest rate which can possibly undermine lending to these sectors; considering their role as key engine of economic growth in any developing economy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The leading role played by bank financial institutions in developing economies cannot be undermined; these institutions perform the most crucial function in an economy as catalyst to developmental process (See for instance, the studies of Acemoglu and Robinson, 2010; Levine, 1997 for some of the crucial roles performed by bank financial institutions which of course can be categorised in different ways, as well as how these roles, but not its qualities which leads to economic growth, remain constant across countries. In the last decade, institutional credits to the private and public sectors for investment purposes has increased significantly; thereby helping to build up huge infrastructural facilities, capital project backing as well as meeting other recurrent expenditures of the government respectively. However, the incidence of problem loans in banks has also increased simultaneously over the last decade; the increase is an indication that most of
the credit advanced to customers may have entered into wrong hands. A situation, which arises whenever individual, and/or institutional borrowers' default in repaying the principal and/or accrued interest on a loan facility. Problem loans can be caused by several systematic and/or macroeconomic elements, which includes unemployment, public debt, GDP and interest rates (Anastasiou, Louri & Tsionas, 2016; Ghosh, 2015; Louzis, Vouldis & Metaxas, 2012; Makri, Tsagkanos & Bellas, 2014). It may also be created by other macroeconomic factors, such as: political instability, incessant economic policy changes, energy crisis as well as the lack of commitment (customer's wilful default), unrealistic investment projects, moral hazard, skimping and poor credit administration to mention but a few for customer- and bank-specific factors respectively. For instance, Berger and De Young (1997) provided a comprehensive review of how bank-specific characteristics create problem loans. For many reasons, bank credits irrespective of who benefit of it should serve as an economy propellant; thus stirring up a desirable level of economic growth and/or development.

Deposit Money Banks (Hereafter, DMBs) as bank financial institutions generally act as lenders of short- and medium-term loans to both private and public sector borrowers, hence they create the money they lend out to customers not as an individual bank but collectively as a system. Werner (2014) argued that individual banks could not create “money” for the fact that they serve as mere intermediaries in the financial sector, however, with their systemic interactions they collectively create “money” as underpinned by banks “fractional reserve theory”. DMBs are also known as commercial banks in most developing countries, and with different names in other parts of the world. In Russia, for instance, they are popularly referred to as zero banks. Wherever they are and by whatever name they are known for, they have general and similar characteristics, and as well similar to but in many cases somewhat different from non-bank financial institutions. In developing countries, bank financial institutions must be awakened to the crucial role of financing economic projects and other related activities (Korkmaz, 2015; Levine & Zervos, 1998; Ben-Naceur & Ghazouani, 2007); thus, their contributions in ensuring sustainable economic growth and/or development should be unqualified. Generally, DMBs assume an intermediary role between the surplus units (those that are willing to lend to others by savings with the DMBs) and the deficit unit (whose who needs funds kept with the DMBs). Bank financial institutions play a key function by facilitating credit flow from the savers' side to the users' group in an economy, and in that process, they create a multiplicity of investment which serve as one of the drivers of economic growth. However, some studies (see, for example, Demetriades & Luinetal, 1996) have cast doubts on if a repressed banking sector can retard the growth of an economy, however, the studies of Roubini and Sala-i-Martin (1992); Singh and Bruce (1998) argue that a repressed banking sector reduce economic growth. Conversely, a liberalised banking sector can stimulate growth in an economy (Ang & McKibbin, 2007; Bekarit, Harvey & Lundblad, 2005; Demetriades & Hussein, 1996), notwithstanding few exceptions also exist (see, for instance, Pagano, 1993).

In spite of the perceived roles played by these bank financial institutions in smoothing and keeping the Nigerian economy on the path of growth and development, several critical factors inhibit their functions, Opara (2009) provided a comprehensive discussion on those factors that inhibit bank-lending activities. They also experience many challenges in ensuring that their funds have significant positive impacts on the productive sectors of a small open economy, like Nigeria. However, the debate on financial deepening indicators’ link with economic development is age-longed, and prior studies have documented evidence roughly in support of the demand-following hypothesis, supply-leading hypothesis, feedback hypothesis, or the neutral hypothesis. The causal link between these variables will continue to create a controversial and contentious discussion, as prior research outputs have discussed diverse results across various countries, and for studies that used different data sets, study periods and/or methodologies. In Nigeria, the two main trends, which have emerged, are the demand and supply-leading views. This study provides the monetary authorities in Nigeria with the wisdom to ensure that the activities of deposit money banks gear up the flow of credits to the private sectors, considering their role in national development. It also leaves them with core areas that require policies to stimulate DMBs operations in the economy. Therefore, this study provides an answer to the question of the causal link between deposit money banks’ credit to public-private sectors and economic development in Nigeria. The motivation for this study emanates from the diverse results obtained by prior studies in Nigeria, and the need to also investigate an unpopular belief that banking sector reforms experienced in Nigeria for this 21st century may have been precipitated supporting the supply-leading hypothesis. Evidence to prove that, we differentiate from existing studies in Nigeria in two ways: First, we contribute new knowledge, as we are able to document the relevance of the feedback hypothesis for Nigeria; in resonance with the burgeoning empirical studies conducted for developed economies. This implies that the financial deepening-growth nexus follows a two-way causality, and other evidence may be taken with a large grain of salt. Second, we focus and concentrate on banks’ credits to the private sector and public sector alike; conversely to taking one in isolation of the other. To the best of our knowledge, Agu and Chukwu (2008); Odeniran and Udeaja (2010) are the prior studies that found the mutual interdependence hypothesis applicable to Nigeria as well by adopting the causality approach, even with that, their studies lack current relevance. The choice of variables also differs; hence, this study is able to bridge these gaps by including credits to government sector as one of the features because of its alignment to the study’s objective and by updating the study's scope. However, it is imperative to state that financial deepening and growth nexus is much more highly complex than previously thought in the demand-following and empirical model and econometric method relied upon has shaped the relationship between these
variables in previous studies.

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows: In the next section, we discuss the literature review. Section 3 entails data issues and pre-estimation analyses. Estimation results and discussion is presented in section 4. Finally, we conclude in section 5.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptual literature

This section of the study covers the clarification as regards the role of deposit money banks in economic development.

Deposit money banks (DMBs) and economic development

Deposit money banks (DMBs) play an important role in accelerating the growth in a small open economy, like Nigeria. These institutions perform roles that are pivotal in ensuring that adequate funds flow between economic entities, especially in servicing the activities of the deficit sides of an economy (Ogege & Boloupremo, 2014). Deposit money banks perform series of specified functions to stimulate the development of an economy. They are also expected to meet the financial needs of some preferred sectors, such as the: industrial, agricultural, communication, trade and commerce, and other productive sectors; they, therefore, should serve as an untiring force in providing funds that meet the financial needs of the private-public sectors. In this 21st century, the key functions performed by deposit money banks are fast becoming more customer-centered, thus these banks are widening their primary and secondary functions. It now seems clearer that it is impossible to separate the development of banking institutions from the growth of modern economies, because not until the fall of the seventh-century, there was no record anywhere in the world, which affirms the existence of any modern banking institution, since there was also no developed economy at that time. The availability of natural resources endowment, technical expertise, the supply of skilled manpower and labour and, of course, capital resources are factors that determine the level of development of an economy (Ogege & Shiro, 2013). Capital resources are, of course, a critical factor required in the economic development process, whether it is real or financial capital. Undoubtedly, they are both instrumental to any meaningful economic development, and this then underscores the importance of banking institutions, most especially the deposit money banks. DMBs serve as an intermediary between the savers’ side and the spenders’ side in any economy who intends to channel the funds they acquired to investment opportunities, and into what open the door for economic development. These banks pool together the funds of the scattered savers and make it available for the users of funds that are investment ready. Consequently, it is possible for qualified investors to access a substantial stock of funds, which exist in temporary residence with DMBs, and invest such in large physical projects (Ogege & Shiro, 2013). They added that the intermediary activities of these banks in aggregating savings and investment are economically very rewarding. Unsurprisingly, the quantum of purchasing power available for the investment and consumption expenditures can be influenced by these banks. They do this through their credit contraction power, and by their established policies, they also can affect the direction of funds to alternative uses, through the prices of the various financial claims. In addition, they also make choice of whether their credits are available for financing investment in some preferred sectors of an economy or consumption purpose, however, their choice in this regard tells about what becomes of a nation’s pattern of development. DMBs differs from other financial intermediaries, in that they have a “high degree of liquidity” against their demand deposits. They also as a system of banks have the ability to “create” and “destroy” money. A greater proportion of the supply of money in a modern economy is created by these institutions from the customers’ deposit with them. They also as a group serve as the principal supplier of the medium of exchange in an economy. However, deposits money banks face a myriad of problems that may impede their contributions to economic development in a nation, and these problems can be classified into those that create internal or external threats to their survival. To mention a few are bad management, boardroom crisis, risk asset portfolio, weak capital bases, unstable economic and political environment, legal problems, international financial crisis, and financial distress among others.

2.2 Theoretical review

There are several theories in economics and finance literature that offers a theoretical explanation on the link between deposit money banks’ credit and economic development. These theories are discussed as:

2.2.1 Theory of savings mobilization

Financial institutions perform savings mobilization as one of the major functions. As banks in an economy mobilize savings from the savers’ side in their millions, it is also important that they channel it to the deficit spending units. This will in a way, enhance economic growth and development. One major determinant of the development process (in terms of the relations between output growth rate and capital stock) is capital accumulation (Saint-Paul, 1992). He added that capital plays the dual role of increasing production capacity and effective demand.

Solow (1956) and Swan (1956) assumed separately that capital stock (investment) equals saving. A continuous increase in income level largely determines the increase in investment and what savings will be (Harrod, 1939). However, the savings of some economic agents are what serves as banks’ credit.

2.2.2 Theory of financial repression

The path-breaking works of Cameron, Crisp, Patrick and Tilly (1973); McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973) discussed that financial development will contribute
more laudable to economic growth in a nation where the authorities have no interference in the operations of the financial institutions. Interference, which can come in the form of interest rate regulation, ceilings on deposit and loan rates, guidelines on lending operations or any other official guidelines, is responsible for the poor performance of some banks and other financial institutions. A low and often negative real rate of return on financial assets, and on the deficient savings being mobilized and channelled into investment projects is frequently the result of several interferences (Agu, 1988). The proponents of financial repression theory thus advocated a positive real interest and financial liberalisation. The reckoning forces of a free market can ensure the presence of an optimal financial structure, the elimination of market fragmentation and some attendant distortions to the proper operation of the mechanisms, which exist in the market. Based on the financial repression hypothesis, government legislation and policies distort the operation of the market mechanisms in the determination of the “prices” of financial resources. Financial repression majorly results in limited savings, and savings are limited due to interest ceilings. The hypothesis can be ultimately reduced to official interest rate policies. Portfolio regulation and oligopolistic financial markets are also recognised as what might result in other forms of financial repression (Galbis, 1982). The attention of the financial repression hypothesis is also drawn to the interest accrued on the savings instruments available to the public and how inflation affects it. However, a positive real rate of interest is a pointer to financial deepening, and not financial repression.

2.2.3 Supply-leading hypothesis

Schumpeter (1911) propounded the "supply-leading hypothesis", and the hypothesis was espoused by Calderon and Liu (2003); Gurley and Shaw (1967); King and Levine (1993); and McKinnon (1973). The hypothesis strongly believes that financial development positively affects economic growth and/or development. The hypothesis holds that as capital accumulation, savings, and investments rate increases, the financial development also deepens, and this is closely followed by an increased economic growth. However, because entrepreneurs can easily access supply-leading funds, they have their expectations increasing. They can blow up existing standards in order to open up new horizons (possible alternatives), thereby challenging them to “think broadly”; hence, this represents the basic tenet of the hypothesis.

2.2.4 Demand-following hypothesis

The demand-following hypothesis was pioneered by Robinson (1952). This Keynesian theory holds that changes in the real sectors affect financial development. This theory asserts that with an expansionary fiscal policy, financial deepening occurs. The belief is that full employment can be reached by injecting money into the economy through an increase in government spending. When the level of income and aggregate demand in an economy are instigated, the demand for money also increases and all is the result of an increased government spending (McKinnon, 1973). An indispensable need for increased economic growth is what makes a demand to increase in the financial sector (Robinson, 1952). In this same view, higher demand for using money is driven by improvements in the economy; it consequently stimulates developments in the financial sector. In a different way, an increase in the demand for the services of operators in the financial markets by a growing real sector will result in the development and progress of the markets. For that reason, an increase in the demand for financial services is triggered by rising economic growth, and this is the corollary result of an expanded financial sector (Goldsmith, 1969; Jung, 1986; Kar & Pentecost, 2000; Lucas, 1988; Ndlovu, 2013; Omotor, 2007; Robinson, 1952).

The feedback, mutual dependence or interdependence hypothesis which was championed by Patrick (1966) is one of the two other hypothesis which exists between the supply-leading and demand-following hypothesis. It states the existence of a mutual effect between financial development and economic growth, hence it establishes reciprocity. The neutral hypothesis expressed most prominently by Lucas (1988) is the second hypothesis, and it asserts the absence of any relationship at all between financial development and economic growth.

2.3 Empirical review

Nyasha and Odhiambo (2018) employed a revisionist approach to a previously authored paper, to re-investigate the nexus between financial development and economic growth in Kenya. They find that this nexus we talk about here varies over time, and also per country. Their study concluded that whatever is used as a proxy in capturing financial development; coupled with the estimation method applied are significant determinants of the nexus between the variables in question. Utilising an alternate approach and a dataset from 24 developed economies, Swamy and Dharani (2018) also explored the causal relations between financial development and economic growth over the period 1983-2013. It found using panel Granger causality tests that the feedback hypothesis exist.

By adopting the Toda-Yamamoto augmented Granger causality test, Karimo and Ogbonna (2017) investigated the causality between financial deepening and economic growth in Nigeria over the period from 1970-2013. Their results revealed that financial deepening-growth nexus in Nigeria follows the supply-leading hypothesis. Over the period from 1981-2014, Okafor, Ezeku, and Ugwuegbe (2016) evaluated the nexus between deposit money banks’ credit and the economic growth in Nigeria. The results of the vector autoregressive (VAR) Granger causality test used in the study showed that financial development is sine-qua-non for economic growth in Nigeria, hence the study supports the supply-leading hypothesis.

In Saba (2016), the causal effect of bank activities on economic growth was investigated in Pakistan from 1961-2013. The study, which employed co-integration and Granger causality test in its analysis, revealed that bank activities have no significant impact on economic growth, and that
saving and lending activities result in no significant benefits. Iheimeje and Ikwugwuru (2016) studied the effect of sectorial credits from deposit money banks on the economic growth in Nigeria within the period from 1985-2014. The regression results revealed that credits to the agricultural and manufacturing sectors have a positive effect, while those advanced to commerce and trade showed an inverse relationship with economic growth.

Iwedi, Igbanibo, and Onuegbu (2015) empirically investigated the impact of banks’ domestic credits on Nigeria’s economic growth. Gathering time series data for the period from 1980-2013, the co-integration result should a weak long-run relationship between banks’ domestic credit indicators and gross domestic product of Nigeria. The study recommended that the monetary authorities should devise appropriate policies that will enhance a mutual dependence response between the banking sector development and the real sector growth. In Akakabo (2015), the effect of financial sector reforms on economic growth in Nigeria over the period from 1986-2012 was examined. The regression technique employed showed that credit claims of deposit money banks affect economic growth in a positive way while interest rate charged by banks for lending has a negative relationship with economic growth.

Fapetu and Obalade (2015) also investigated the impact of sectoral allocation of banks’ credit on economic growth in Nigeria for the periods of intensive regulation, deregulation and guided deregulation. The ordinary least square technique employed for the three regimes revealed that credits allocated to private and public institutions have significant positive contributions on economic growth during a period of intensive regulation, but otherwise in the deregulation era. Neelam (2014) studied the impact of bank credit on economic growth in Nepal for the periods from 1975 to 2013. The analysis done via Johansen approach to Co-integration approach and error correction model showed that bank credit to the private sector has positive effects on the economic growth in Nepal in the end.

In a study for the effect of deposit money banks intermediation role on economic growth in Nigeria for the 1973-2011 period, Ogege and Boloupromo (2014) found that credit allocation to the production sectors has a significant impact on economic activity. Balago (2014) examined the causal link between bank credit and economic growth in Nigeria for the periods from 1983 to 2012 by establishing VEC models. The result of the study showed that causality runs from bank credit to the real GDP.

Employing regression technique, Ogege and Shiro (2013) examined the role of banks deposit money in the growth of Nigerian economy for 1974-2010 period. The study found a co-integrating relationship between economic growth and role of banks in the Nigerian economy. Taking 10 banks as a sample, Aurangzeb (2012) also investigated the contributions of the banking sector to the economic growth of Pakistan for the periods from 1981 to 2010. The regression results indicated that banks deposits, investments, advances, profitability and interest earnings have a significant positive impact on economic growth of Pakistan. The study also confirmed a bidirectional causal relationship of deposits, advances and profitability with economic growth, and a unidirectional causal relationship running from investments and interest earnings to economic growth.

The association between credit in the banking sector and economic growth in Nigeria was examined by Akpansung and Babalola (2012) for the period from 1970 to 2008 utilizing the two-stage least squares approach and Granger causality test. The study establishes evidence that credit in private sector positively affected on economic growth while lending rate slows down economic growth, while evidence of unidirectional causal relationship from GDP to private sector credit (PSC) and from industrial production index (IND) to real GDP. Okwo, Mhajiaku, and Ugwunta, (2012) investigated the effect of bank credit to the private sector on economic growth in Nigeria for the periods from 1981 to 2010. The co-integration result of the study showed that bank credit to private sectors has a strong positive and significant relationship with economic growth.

3. THEORETICAL BASIS, DATA ISSUES, AND PRELIMINARY ANALYSES

This study adopts an econometric methodology in the analysis of the link between deposit money banks’ credit and the development of an economy, looking at Nigeria in focus. In this study, we adopt a technique that provides evidence for the direction of causality between the two variables of interest. This study compiled data on per capita income, bank credits to private sector, bank credits to government sector, money supply, and lending interest rate for the time period which spans from 1970 to 2016, and these data which were transformed to their natural logarithms was derived from the Central Bank of Nigeria statistical bulletin, National Bureau of Statistics Fact Book and the World Bank Development Indicator Database. The model built for this study specifies economic performance (measured with per capita income) as a function of credit from DMBS to private sectors, credit from DMBS to government sectors, money supply, and lending interest rate.

3.1 Descriptive statistics

Table 1 of descriptive statistics, reports the descriptive properties of the values of PCI, CPS, CGS, MS, and LIR.
Table 1. Results of Descriptive Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>PCI</th>
<th>CPS</th>
<th>CGS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>LIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.275582</td>
<td>4.828997</td>
<td>3.479701</td>
<td>5.154563</td>
<td>2.626115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>6.080702</td>
<td>4.845132</td>
<td>3.022374</td>
<td>5.108004</td>
<td>2.820783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>5.030932</td>
<td>-0.798508</td>
<td>-1.500648</td>
<td>-0.105361</td>
<td>1.79179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>0.850446</td>
<td>3.179770</td>
<td>3.192125</td>
<td>3.120170</td>
<td>0.468416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.177626</td>
<td>0.147225</td>
<td>0.166766</td>
<td>0.024394</td>
<td>-0.437465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>2.477024</td>
<td>1.763082</td>
<td>1.582737</td>
<td>1.757474</td>
<td>1.828933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarque-Bera</td>
<td>4.682895</td>
<td>3.165971</td>
<td>4.151425</td>
<td>3.028075</td>
<td>4.184764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB P-Value</td>
<td>0.096188</td>
<td>0.205361</td>
<td>0.125467</td>
<td>0.220020</td>
<td>0.123393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ Computation, 2018

Table 1 presents the result of summary statistics for all the series in the study. From Table 1, the mean value of PCI is greater than that of any other series. It can also be observed that there is a wide margin between the maximum and minimum values of each of the series; this indicates that there is large variance present in all the series. All the series except LIR are positively skewed, and this means that there is every tendency of obtaining negative extreme values than positive extreme values for LIR. This further implies that all other series have a symmetric distribution. For all the series, the Kurtosis statistic indicates a platykurtic (low-peaked and thin-tailed) probability distribution. The Jarque-Bera statistic supports rejection of the null hypothesis of normal distribution for all the series.

3.2 Unit root test

The presence of a unit root may occur due to the non-stationary properties inherent in most time-series data. Ng-Perron (NP) modified and Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) breakpoint unit root tests were employed to check for the presence of unit root and determine the order of integration of series – l(d) in the presence of a structural break in each series respectively. However, the T-Y Granger non-causality test does not consider the presence of unit root properties in time-series data. The Ng-Perron test consists of four individual test statistics namely MZa, MZt, MSB, and MPT, but this study makes use of the MZa and MZt test statistics. The rejection of the null hypothesis that a series contains a unit root was done by comparing in absolute terms, the test statistics and critical values; hence, test statistics must be greater than the critical values. An automatic maximum lag length selection based on the Schwarz Information Criterion set the optimal lag length at 9. The ADF breakpoint unit root test was performed in an Innovative Outlier (IO) model to determine the order of integration of each series in the presence of structural changes. We summarize and report the unit root tests to result in Tables 2A and 2B as.

Table 2. Unit Root Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>MZa Statistics</th>
<th>MZt Statistics</th>
<th>MZa Statistics</th>
<th>MZt Statistics</th>
<th>l(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lnPCI</td>
<td>-3.04186**</td>
<td>-1.19247*</td>
<td>-18.4906**</td>
<td>-3.03881**</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnCPS</td>
<td>-1.39786</td>
<td>-2.62663*</td>
<td>-11.4437**</td>
<td>-3.3275**</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnCGS</td>
<td>-1.7210</td>
<td>-2.38670</td>
<td>-82.4144**</td>
<td>-6.41606**</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnMS</td>
<td>-1.45494*</td>
<td>-2.62463*</td>
<td>-16.9427**</td>
<td>-2.90817**</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnLIR</td>
<td>-1.36087*</td>
<td>-1.19396*</td>
<td>-22.4834**</td>
<td>-3.33270**</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2B: Augmented Dickey-Fuller Breakpoint Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Break Date</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Break Date</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>l(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lnPCI</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-3.341824</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-7.4164666*</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnCPS</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-3.875698</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-5.8323444*</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnCGS</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>-6.072790*</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-5.0318014*</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnMS</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>-3.003639*</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-5.0318014*</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnLIR</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>-5.0282394*</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-5.0318014*</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ Computation, 2018

Notes: * and ** imply series has no unit root at 1% and 5% asymptotic critical values respectively and a and b indicate intercept only and trend and intercept respectively. Also, †, ‡ and • denotes p-value less than 1%, 5%, and 10% respectively. Table 2 provides the traditional URT conducted to establish the stationarity of series in the model. We deduced from the Table 2 that the maximum order of integration (dmax) among our variables is 1 whether the structural break is ignored or not in the unit root test. All the series were non-stationary series without considering the presence of structural break, but in the presence of structural break, only lnCGS and lnLIR are stationary series at p < 0.01.
3.3 Model specification

We introduced the model, which specifies per capital income as a function of bank credits to the private sector, bank credits to the government sector, money supply, and lending interest rate. It can be depicted in its econometric form as:

\[
\ln PCI_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln CPS_t + \alpha_2 \ln CGS_t + \alpha_3 \ln MS_t + \alpha_4 \ln LIR_t + \mu_t
\]  

Equation 1, is an empirical model where PCI is a measure for economic development, which is, obtained by dividing real GDP by the total population; CPS is credit from DMBs to private sectors; credit from DMBs to government sectors; MS is money supply; LIR is lending interest rate; and \( \mu_t \) is the disturbance error term.

4. RESULTS

This study employed an Augmented Granger non-causality test, which was developed by Toda and Yamamoto (1995) in its analysis. Toda and Yamamoto (T-Y) approach is superior to the Pairwise Granger causality test, in that it overcomes the condition that all series must be integrated in order of one- \( \text{I}(1) \). In addition, establishing co-integration and stationarity of series are not recognised conditions for the test. However, T-Y approach requires a maximum order of integration (\( d_{\text{max}} \)) to determine the number of extra lag to be added to each of the variables; hence, this justifies the reason for performing unit root test on the series. From the unit root test conducted, \( d_{\text{max}} \) is 1. A multivariate causality test was performed in a Vector Autoregressive (VAR) system, which treat all treatables as though they were endogenous. This test is based on a modified Wald statistic, which allows valid parameter estimates to be produced even when variables are not cointegrated. Thus, the T-Y VAR models for this study are stated as follows:

\[
\ln PCI_t = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho \ln CPS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma \ln CGS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi \ln MS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma \ln LIR_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]  

\[
\ln CPS_t = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho \ln CPS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma \ln CGS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha \ln CGS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi \ln MS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma \ln LIR_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]  

\[
\ln CGS_t = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho \ln CGS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi \ln MS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma \ln LIR_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]  

\[
\ln MS_t = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho \ln MS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi \ln CGS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma \ln LIR_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]  

\[
\ln LIR_t = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho \ln LIR_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma \ln PCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi \ln CGS_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma \ln LIR_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t
\]  

The optimal lag length (\( k \)) was determined using the VAR lag length selection criteria with the maximum lag set at 6, as derived based on Final Prediction Error (FPE), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Hannan-Quinn Information Criterion (HQ). Table 3 reports the VAR optimal lag length selection by the different criteria.
Table 3. VAR Lag Length Selection Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lag</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>FPE</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>HQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.000363</td>
<td>6.267295</td>
<td>6.476267</td>
<td>6.343391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>380.5673</td>
<td>2.35e-08</td>
<td>-3.386545</td>
<td>-2.132712*</td>
<td>-2.929968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42.45797*</td>
<td>2.05e-08</td>
<td>-3.582999</td>
<td>-1.283605</td>
<td>-2.745241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.85628</td>
<td>2.54e-08</td>
<td>-3.517038</td>
<td>-0.173483</td>
<td>-2.299499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.65089</td>
<td>2.53e-08</td>
<td>-3.830070</td>
<td>0.558346</td>
<td>-2.232051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.75427</td>
<td>1.46e-08</td>
<td>-4.994176</td>
<td>0.439101</td>
<td>-3.015676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.17616</td>
<td>1.16e-08*</td>
<td>-6.392280*</td>
<td>0.085858</td>
<td>-4.033299*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ Computation, 2018

Notes: * indicates lag length selected by criterion. Also, each test is performed at 5% significance level.

Table 3 entails the result of the VAR lag length selection based on diverse information criteria. The result suggests that the lag length is set at 6. After estimating the VAR model with a k of 6, VAR residual serial correlation test was performed and AR Roots graph was plotted so as to ensure that the VAR model is ideal for this study. The null hypothesis for the VAR residual serial correlation test is that there exist no serial correlation at lag length k.

Table 4. VAR Residual Serial Correlation Test Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K</th>
<th>LM-Stat</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42.86181</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.00058</td>
<td>0.1311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.54382</td>
<td>0.1716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.81717</td>
<td>0.0482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.768337</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.22280</td>
<td>0.7350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ Computation, 2018

It can be seen from Table 4 which provides the result of VAR residual serial correlation test that the null hypothesis of no serial correlation is accepted at the selected optimal lag length of 6 but could be rejected at lag length 1 and 4. Also, the AR Roots graph shows that the VAR model satisfies stability condition, exhibits a good fit and for that reason not wrongly specified because no roots lying outside the unit circle. Figure 1 depicts the graph of the AR Roots.

Figure 1. AR Roots Graph

Source: Authors’ Computation, 2018

The Toda and Yamamoto Granger non-causality test null hypothesis is that there is no existing causality. The T-Y test uses k + dmax as its optimal length; hence the optimal lag length for the T-Y VAR models is 2. Table 5 reports the result of the T-Y Granger non-causality test based on a modified Wald (MWALD) statistic.
Table 5. T-Y Granger non-Causality Test Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>lnPCI</th>
<th>lnCPS</th>
<th>lnCGS</th>
<th>lnMS</th>
<th>lnLIR</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lnPCI</td>
<td>DV</td>
<td>[9.418314]</td>
<td>[6.255774]</td>
<td>[8.131695]</td>
<td>[2.872139]</td>
<td>[34.76854]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnCPS</td>
<td>[1.834777]</td>
<td>[0.9342]</td>
<td>[0.03067]</td>
<td>[0.3270]</td>
<td>[0.7914]</td>
<td>[0.0721]***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnCGS</td>
<td>[11.83364]</td>
<td>[11.74473]</td>
<td>DV</td>
<td>[6.933813]</td>
<td>[3.137404]</td>
<td>[34.75810]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnMS</td>
<td>[0.0658]***</td>
<td>[0.0679]***</td>
<td>[12.79806]</td>
<td>[0.0030]**</td>
<td>[0.0111]**</td>
<td>[0.0077]**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnLIR</td>
<td>[14.99038]</td>
<td>[14.091230]</td>
<td>[12.79806]</td>
<td>DV</td>
<td>[7.441478]</td>
<td>[36.96626]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, ** and *** denote rejection of null hypothesis at 1%, 5% and 10% significance level respectively. DV indicates Dependent Variable, MWALD statistic in ] | ] and p-value in ].

Source: Authors’ Computation, 2018

Table 5 shows the relationship between each of the series in the model for this study. The results of the Toda and Yamamoto augmented Granger non-causality test are reported in the table. At a 10% significance level, the augmented Granger non-causality test reveals that all the financial deepening variables in the model jointly have a causal effect on economic development, but not individually. (as “lnCPS, lnCGS, lnMS, lnLIR” do not Granger cause “lnPCI” individually). The results also show that the null hypothesis of no causal direction from lnPCI, lnCPS, lnMS and lnLIR to lnCGS is rejected at 10% significance level in the case of LnPCI and lnCPS, at 5% for lnLIR and 1% for lnMS and there is a reverse causality from lnCGS to only lnMS because its p-value of the modified Wald statistic (MWALD) is lesser than 0.1. This result suggests that the economic development, credits to private sectors, money supply, and lending interest rate drive the volume of DMBs’ credit to government sectors in Nigeria. The feedback effect from credits to government sectors to money supply implies that these two variables can predict each other. Furthermore, causality runs from lnPCI to lnLIR at 5% significance level, but with no feedback effect from lnLIR.

Further assessment reveals that economic development does not have an individual causal effect on credits to private sectors and vice versa. Also, credits to government sector do not cause economic development individually, hence the former is not led by the latter. However, it can be inferred that economic development predicts credits to government sectors since the result indicates that a unidirectional causality runs from economic development to credits to government sectors. There is also a feedback effect from credit to the government sector and lending interest rate to economic growth. In its overall sense, the causality results support the view that causality runs from DMBs’ credit to public-private sector to economic development and vice versa, thus implying that in Nigeria, the feedback hypothesis prevails. For that reason, banks’ credit and economic development in Nigeria have a mutual effect, as the overall results of the T-Y Granger non-causality test provide evidence of this. However, attention must be given to DMBs major activities, which are deposit taking and lending, as what is needed to boost the level of economic development in Nigeria. The lending interest rate is also a fundamental variable that has a unidirectional causality with economic development, however, it cannot be ignored when it comes to DMBs lending. In Nigeria, the findings of this study vary with that of Akpansung and Babalola (2012); karimo and Ogbonna (2017); Nyasha and Odhiambo (2018); Okafor et al. (2017) whose studies found that the supply-leading hypothesis prevails, but in tandem with the studies of Agu and Chukwu (2008); Odeniran and Udeaja (2010) where evidence was equally found for the mutual existence of both demand-following and supply-leading hypotheses (feedback effect), hence it is important to note that the choice of financial deepening variables employed can inform the results obtained. This study is among the few that have found a feedback effect for Nigeria. In other developing countries, Aurangzeb (2012) confirms bi-directional causality between finance and economic growth for Pakistan. For developed economies, Shan and Jianhong (2006) found a two-way causality for China, while Apergis, Filippidis, and Economou (2007) also reported a bi-directional causality in a panel study of fifteen OECD and fifty non-OECD countries.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study investigated the direction of long-run causality between deposit money banks’ credit to private-public sectors and economic development in Nigeria over the period 1970-2016. The causal direction between the variables was established using the Toda and Yamamoto Granger non-causality test. The analytical results indicated that DMBs’ credit to government sectors leads economic development in Nigeria. On the overall, the study draws the conclusion that the relationship between economic development and credits to private-public sectors by DMBs’ lends credence to the “feedback hypothesis”. Among other things, this study recommends that the monetary authorities should regulate the activities of deposit money banks to ensure that they gear up the growth of credits to private sectors by examining factors, such as lending interest rate, which can possibly undermine lending to the private sectors, which serves as key engine of economic development in any developing
economy. Also, issues relating to non-performing loans can be dealt with by strengthening the policy that has established the Asset Management Corporation of Nigeria (AMCON) so that it can hedge the DMBs against the financial risk that ensues as a result of loans default. In addition, the regulatory authorities should implement a policy that will stimulate DMBs operations in the economy, while setting up committees that will monitor the implementation of formulated policies is also of great importance. Future studies can look at a broad sample that includes all developing countries since the financial system in most developing countries is bank-based. For that reason, the role of bank financial institutions as catalysts of overall growth and development of such country cannot be overemphasized.
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